



AYSO Referee Instructor Evaluation Form

Instructor:	Evaluator:
Date:	Location:
Course/Module:	Level: ☐ Reg ☐ Int ☐ Adv

Definitions of scores for evaluation: 1= Fails to meet minimum standards; 2= Sometimes meets minimum standards; 3= Meets minimum standards; 4= Sometimes exceeds minimum standards; 5= Consistently exceeds minimum standards

Criteria – All Instructor Candidates	1	2	3	4	5	Comments
Pre-class:						
Ensured required training aids available						
Ensured necessary class materials/handouts available						
Instructor appearance appropriate						
Utilized available space/conditions to best advantage						
(REMOTE) Communicated remote protocols to students						
Presentation:						
Gave adequate introduction						
Provided bridge from previous material						
Met lesson plan goals						
Met lesson plan learning objectives						
Obtained adequate class participation						
Answered questions adequately						
Maintained adequate control of class						
Used training aids properly						
Managed time appropriately						
Provided bridge to future presentation(s)						
Style supports learning						
(REMOTE) Module was appropriate for remote						
Law Knowledge:						
Demonstrated general Law knowledge						
Demonstrated accurate Law knowledge specific to topic						
Presented accurate AYSO knowledge specific to topic						
Demonstrated knowledge of AYSO National Referee Program						
Additional Criteria – Adv. Ref. Instructor Candidate						
Adapted presentation to needs of students						
Used proper questioning techniques						
Used multiple training aids effectively						
Demonstrated creativity						
Involved all students and co-instructors						
Understood requirements as role model						

Evaluator Signature: _____

Recommended for: ☐ Upgrade ☐ Re-evaluation

Notes:

June 1, 2026